



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2900/2024**

ANJANI KUMAR SAHU

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Ritesh Patil, Advocate.**

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.**
Mr. Aman Usman, APP for the State.
Insp. Sanjay Kumar Meena, PS NIA,
OND, Delhi.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

16.08.2024

CRL.M.A. 24342/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

BAIL APPLN. 2900/2024 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 1362/2024

1. The Petitioner has approached this Court for grant of bail in FIR No.828/2022 dated 26.10.2022 registered at Police Station Narela Industrial Area for offences under Section 304B, 498A IPC.
2. Material on record reveals that the Petitioner approached this Court by filing a bail application being BAIL APPLN. 2491/2023, which was disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the Petitioner to approach the Trial Court after the evidence of the two material witnesses stands concluded.
3. It is stated by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner that the witnesses are not coming up for examination, a fact which is opposed by the learned



APP for the State. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner also states that one witness has already been examined and the second witness is being cross-examined by the defence.

4. In view of the Order dated 13.03.2024, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the Petitioner at this juncture.

5. The bail application is dismissed along with pending application(s), if any.

6. It is open for the Petitioner to approach the Trial Court after evidence of the witnesses are recorded which will be in spirit of the Order dated 13.03.2024 passed by this Court in BAIL APPLN. 2491/2023.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

AUGUST 16, 2024
hsk