



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 3608/2023**

KRISHAN KUMAR MALIK

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Yadav, Advocate

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandair, ASC for the
State with Mr. Kunal Mittal, Mr.
Arijit Sharma and Ms. Rishika,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

08.01.2024

%

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though he has stated a ground in para no. 9 of his petition that he wants parole for the purpose of filing Special Leave Petition (SLP), this ground was not mentioned in the application filed before the competent authority. Therefore, let petitioner file afresh application before the competent authority and the competent authority will decide the same within two weeks under intimation to the petitioner, and will also consider his petition as representation.
2. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.
3. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 8, 2024/zp

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)