



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8952/2023**

MANOJ KUMAR & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vishal Khari and Mr. Loveneet
Bhati, Advocates with petitioners in
person.

versus

**THE STATE GOVT. OF
NCT OF DELHI AND ANR**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Balraj PS Saket.
Mr. Jahangir Ahmad and Mr. Gaurav
Tokas, Advocates for respondent
No.2 with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

05.04.2024

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 17/2022 registered under Sections 323/498-A/406/506/34 IPC at P.S. Saket, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes before the Mediation Centre, Saket Court on



11.05.2023. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 05.07.2023 in HMA No. 672/2023.

5. Petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsels as well as by I.O./ SI Balraj PS Saket, New Delhi.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. The petitioner No.1, who is present in the Court undertakes that the visitation rights of respondent No.2 as mentioned in the said settlement will be fully complied with. The undertaking is accepted and is taken on record.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

9. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

10. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 5, 2024/rd