



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 2439/2024, CRL.M.A. 23845/2024**

PARMILA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Avtar Singh, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
State with Mr. Abhinav Kr. Arya and
Mr. Priyam Agarwal, Advocates
alongwith SI Omkant Yadav, P.S.
Hauz Khas.
Mr. Arjun Dhamija, Advocate for
respondent No.2 in person with
respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

12.08.2024

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Article 226 of the Constitution of India on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 126/2024 registered under Sections 325/452/342/506/34 IPC at P.S. Hauz Qazi, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners hurled abuses and gave beatings to respondent No.2.
3. Mr. Lao, learned Standing Counsel for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent



No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners and respondent have amicably settled their disputes vide Agreement dated 19.07.2024, a copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the said Agreement, a sum of Rs.12,000/- has been paid by the petitioners to the respondent No.2.

5. The petitioners are present in Court and have been identified by their counsel as well as the I.O./SI Omkant Yadav, P.S. Hauz Khas. Petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertake not to repeat the same in future.

6. Respondent No. 2 is also present in Court and has been identified by her counsel as well as IO. She states that she has entered into the settlement with the petitioners out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 12, 2024

ga