



\$~48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11066/2024 & CM APPL. 45728/2024**

DIKSHA SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anirudh Sharma, Mr. Sanjeev
Kumar Sharma, Mr. Ajay, Advocates.

versus

NATIONAL TESTING AGENCY (NTA) & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Khanna, Standing
Counsel with Ms. Pragya Bhushan,
Mr. Karandeep Singh & Mr.
Tarandeep Singh, Advocates for
NTA.

Mr. Mohinder JS Rupal & Mr. Hardik
Rupal, Advocates for University of
Delhi.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **09.08.2024**

CM APPL. 45729/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 11066/2024 & CM APPL. 45728/2024

3. The present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, praying as follows:

“1. Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ/ order or direction directing the respondent no 1 to add 30 marks to the Petitioner's result in "mathematics"/ applied mathematics and declare results afresh.



ii. Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ/ order or direction directing the respondent no 1 to consider the representation of the Petitioner and give a reasoned reply and add 30 marks to the Petitioner's result in "mathematics" I applied mathematics and declare results afresh.

iii. Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ/ order or direction directing the respondent no 2 to consider the petitioner's case for admission based on the reconsideration/redeclaration/ verification of the Petitioner's CUET results/Petitioner's result in "mathematics"/ applied mathematics even after the cut off dates for admission.

iv. Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ/ order or direction directing the respondent no 1 to verify the marks of the petitioner herein and add 30 marks to the Petitioner's result in "mathematics"/ applied mathematics and declare results afresh after verification with their declared answer sheet.”

4. Issue notice. Mr. Sanjay Khanna, learned Standing Counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent no. 1 and Mr. Mohinder JS Rupal, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent no. 2.
5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that the representation of the petitioner, which is pending before the respondents, has not yet been decided yet and there is urgency in the present matter.
6. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the respondents are directed to treat the present writ petition as a representation, on behalf of the petitioner, which is to be decided *expeditiously* by 13.08.2024, under intimation to the petitioner herein.
7. Furthermore, the petitioner is at liberty to approach this Court again,



if any grievance arises.

8. No further relief is prayed for.
9. In view of the above, the writ petition is accordingly disposed of.
10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

AUGUST 9, 2024/at

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

Click here to check corrigendum, if any