



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3559/2023**

RAJIV YADAV @ RAJA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Counsel for petitioners (appearance
not given) with petitioners.

versus

THE STATE & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC for the
State with Ms. Anvita Bhandari, Ms.
Charu Sharma, Mr. Arijit Sharma and
Mr. Vaibhav Vats, Advocates with SI
Manish Phogat, PS Mehrauli.
Mr. Lalit, Advocate for R2 with R2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

12.07.2024

%

1. The Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as "Cr.P.C., 1973"*) has been filed on behalf of the petitioners for quashing of FIR No. 0636/2023 under Sections 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as "IPC, 1860"*) registered at Police Station Mehrauli.
2. Issue notice.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, learned ASC appearing on advance notice, accepts notice on behalf of the State.
4. The petitioners and the respondent No. 2 are present in the Court, who



have submitted that the complainant has a shop opposite the house of the petitioners. On the issue of parking of the scooty, they had an altercation.

5. It is further submitted that on 22.10.2023, on the complaint of the respondent No. 2, an FIR 0636/2023 under Sections 307/34 of IPC got registered at Police Station Mehrauli.

6. However, since the date of incident, they are now living in harmony and have never get any kind of fight with the petitioners.

7. It is stated that the petitioners and the respondent No. 2 have amicably settled all the disputes and differences. The parties submit that they have been living in harmony and undertake not to indulge in this kind of incidence again. The petitioners also offer to pay Rs.25,000/- together to the complainant as compensation for the injury suffered by them.

8. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned.

9. The present petition has been signed by all the petitioners and is supported by their respective affidavits. The parties have given their statements voluntarily without any fear, pressure and coercion and shall be bound by the terms of the settlement.

10. Today, the complainant/respondent No. 2, who is present in Court, states that he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

11. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.



12. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

13. Accordingly, FIR 0636/2023 under Sections 307/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Mehrauli and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

14. The petition stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JULY 12, 2024/RS