



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8840/2023**

SONU AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Jagram Singh and Mr. Rahul
Bharati, Advocate with petitioners in
person.

versus

THE STATE AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Navneet Yadav and ASI
Narender PS Budh Vihar, Delhi.
Ms. Manu Bala, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

08.02.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 339/2019 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Budh Vihar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are parents-in-law of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the **only** complainant/victim.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes and a Memorandum of Understanding on 01.03.2021 has been arrived between them. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 03.06.2022 passed by the Family Court, North West District, Rohini, Delhi in HMA No. 787/2022. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.95,000/- as full and final settlement shall be paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No. 2 towards her claims qua maintenance, stridhan, alimony, etc. It is further submitted that all payments have been made to the respondent No.2.
5. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./ SI Navneet Yadav and ASI Narender PS Budh Vihar, Delhi
6. Respondent No. 2, who is also present in Court and identified by the I.O. states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.
7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.
8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.
9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.
10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith



miscellaneous applications.

FEBRUARY 8, 2024/rd

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J