



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 215/2022**

VINEET KUMAR LAKHANPALAppellant

Through: Mr. Aman Chawla, Adv.

versus

NAMAN LAKHANPALRespondent

Through: Ms. Seema Seth & Ms.

Muskaan Deswal, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

% **29.04.2025**

CM APPL. 25048/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exception.

CM APPL. 25047/2025

2. This application has been filed the appellant/applicant praying for the following reliefs:

“A. In order to prevent any-further acrimony between the parties, and since the Respondent has been unilaterally cancelling visitations on one pretext or the other, it is prayed that a set of dates (including alternate dates) be fixed for visitation ab initio as below:-

I. Visitation during Summer Vacation:

from 1st June to 7th June (Alternate dates only to be exercised in case of an exigency: 22nd June to 28th June) each year.

II. Visitation during other Vacations:

During Diwali break (Alternate dates only to be exercised in case of an exigency: During Christmas break)

B. With respect to Para 27 & 28 of Judgement (i.e. adding Petitioner to Parents' WhatsApp group and providing access to school portal), the Respondent has neither complied with the directions nor filed any reply in the impending

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 05/05/2025 at 14:54:20



application at family court, despite Family Court order, only to protract litigation. It is therefore humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the Ld Judge Family Court to expeditiously dispose the application on merits on the next date of hearing.

C. Grant any other relief to the Petitioner (Husband) as deemed appropriate as per the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. The grievance of the appellant is that the respondent on one pretext or the other is not complying with our judgement dated 17.05.2024, and in order to ensure that no excuse for the same is available with the respondent, the above relief be granted.

4. We are of the opinion that once the judgment has been passed, in the event of non-compliance with its terms by the respondent, the appropriate remedy should be pursued. However, the present application is not the proper remedy for this situation.

5. Accordingly, we dispose of this application with liberty to the appellant to avail of his remedies in accordance with law.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

APRIL 29, 2025/Pr/My/VS

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)