



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM APPL. 55875/2024 in**
W.P.(C) 11016/2024

ROSHANARA CLUB LIMITED EMPLOYEES UNION
THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT ,SHRI RAKESH MAURYA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Nishika Singh, Advocate

versus

THE DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY THROUGH ITS
VICE CHAIRMAN & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Manika Tripathi, SC with Mr.
Rony John, Advocate for DDA
Mr. Akash Jindal, Advocate for UOI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

26.11.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 55875/2024 (modification of order dated 23.08.2024)

1. The writ petition was withdrawn on 23.08.2024 and now restoration thereof is sought by the petitioner/union. It is submitted learned counsel for petitioner that on 23.08.2024, the predecessor bench was inclined to impose heavy costs, treating the writ petition as malafide, so the petitioner opted to withdraw the petition. It is further submitted by learned counsel for petitioner that instead of approaching the labour authorities, petitioner has opted to seek restoration of the writ petition.
2. The writ petition was filed by the petitioner/union seeking quashment



of order passed by respondent no.1/DDA seeking grant of police protection in order to seal the premises of respondent no. 4/ Roshnara Club.

3. Admittedly, the petition was withdrawn on 23.08.2024 by the petitioner; the petitioner is a union for employees and not an individual workman; and the decision to withdraw the writ petition was taken by the petitioner union in presence of their counsel before the predecessor bench.

4. At this stage, on instructions of her clients present in the court room, learned counsel for petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application. The application is accordingly dismissed as withdraw.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

NOVEMBER 26, 2024/rk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any