



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 342/2023, CM APPL. 25383/2024 & CM APPL.
30596/2024

BABLI SHARMA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ashish Kapur and Mr. Anmol
Kapur, Advocates

versus

NANAK CHAND BANSAL & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Neeraj, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER

% **10.07.2024**

1. Mr. Neeraj, Advocate for the respondents referred the order dated 03.05.2024 wherein it is mentioned that counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, stated in the Court that the petitioners shall vacate the tenanted premises on or before 13.05.2024. Mr. Neeraj, Advocate for the respondents further stated that since the possession of the tenanted premises has been given back to the respondents, as such the present petition has become infructuous.

2. Mr. Ashish Kapur, Advocate for the petitioners stated that although the possession of the tenanted premises has been given back to the respondents on 13.05.2024, the present petition requires consideration on many legal issues.

3. However, this Court is of the opinion that once the possession of the tenanted premises has been handed over to the respondents on the statement



of the counsel for the petitioners on instructions that the possession of the tenanted premises shall be handed over to the respondents on or before 13.05.2024, no further consideration is required in this petition.

4. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of along with pending applications.

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

JULY 10, 2024

Sk/am