



\$~81

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 8796/2023**

S. KISHAN & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Alamgir, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with Insp. Vipin Kumar and ASI
Dheeraj, P.S. Sunligh Colony.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

28.03.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 597/2015 registered under Sections 452/323/354/427/148/149/506/34 IPC at P.S. Sunlight Colony, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.

2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners entered the house of the complainant, hurled abuses and gave beatings, as a result of which injuries were sustained by the complainant and her relative.

3 Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, submits that in the present case apart from present petitioners there is another accused namely *Shatru*, who has since expired and proceedings against whom have already been abated before the Trial Court. He further submits that apart from



respondent Nos.2 and 3 there are other injured namely *Raju* i.e. father of respondent No.2 and *Vivek* i.e. brother of respondent No.2 who have since expired.

4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that parties being neighbours have entered into a settlement vide Compromise Deed dated 06.11.2023 (*Annexure-P4*). In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by Insp. Vipin Kumar and ASI Dheeraj, P.S. Sunligh Colony. Petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertake not to repeat the same in future.

6. Respondents No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Compromise Deed out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of collective cost of Rs.30,000/- to be deposited with the Delhi State Legal Services Authority (A/c No.: 18580110053263, Bank: UCO Bank, Branch: Rouse Avenue, IFSC:UCB10003364) within a period of four weeks from today. The amount so deposited shall be utilized by the DSLSA for providing counselling/psychological support to POCSO victims requiring such assistance.



9. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the I.O. as well as in Court failing which, the Registry shall list the matter in the Court.
10. A copy of this order be communicated to the Member Secretary, DSLSA for intimation.
11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 28, 2024

ga