



\$~34 to 36 & 39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10901/2024**

ANMOL VERMA (MINOR) THROUGH HIS MOTHER SMT.
JYOTI VERMAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Apoorv Sarvaria, Adv.
(DHCLSC) with Ms. Yashika
Sarvaria and Ms. Simran Chadha,
Advs.

Versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Karn Bhardwaj, ASC, GNCTD
with Mr. Shubham Singh, Mr. Rajat
Gaba and Mr. Saurabh Dahiya, Advs.
for R-1.
Ms. Shikha Sharma Bagga, Adv. for
School.

35

+ **W.P.(C) 13114/2024**

IFRA KHAN, THROUGH HER FATHER DILSHAD ALI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Apoorv Sarvaria, Adv.
(DHCLSC) with Ms. Yashika
Sarvaria and Ms. Simran Chadha,
Advs.

Versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Karn Bhardwaj, ASC, GNCTD
with Mr. Shubham Singh, Mr. Rajat
Gaba and Mr. Saurabh Dahiya, Advs.
for R-1.
Ms. Shikha Sharma Bagga, Adv. for
School.



36

+

W.P.(C) 13117/2024 & CM APPL 62907/2024

YASHIKA, THROUGH HER FATHER SANTUPetitioner

Through: Mr. Apoorv Sarvaria, Adv.
(DHCLSC) with Ms. Yashika
Sarvaria and Ms. Simran Chadha,
Advs.

Versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI, THROUGH DIRECTORATE
OF EDUCATION & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Karn Bhardwaj, ASC, GNCTD
with Mr. Shubham Singh, Mr. Rajat
Gaba and Mr. Saurabh Dahiya, Advs.
for R-1.
Ms. Shikha Sharma Bagga, Adv. for
School.39

39

+

W.P.(C) 11632/2024 & CM APPL 48363/2024

ANKIT ARORAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Narendra Kr. Upadhyay and Mr.
Sanjay Singh, Advs.

Versus

THE PRINCIPAL MAXFORT SCHOOL AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Shikha Sharma Bagga, Adv. for
School.
Mr. Prakhyat, Adv. for Mr. Divyam
Nandrajog, Adv. for GNCTD

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

06.11.2024

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-School submits that, without prejudice to the rights and contentions, the respondent-



School has already granted provisional admission to the petitioners herein. She further submits that the admission so granted shall be confirmed by the respondent-School and all the benefits under the concerned category shall be extended to the petitioners.

2. In view of the aforesaid, the respondent-School is bound by the aforesaid statement.

3. Accordingly, the instant petitions stand disposed of, alongwith pending application as rendered infructuous.

4. Liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioners to approach the Court afresh, in case, they have any further grievance.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J.

NOVEMBER 6, 2024

p'ma