



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 15430/2023 & CM APPLs. 61896/2023, 50646/2024,
50647/2024, 50648/2024 & 50649/2024
MS. PARMINDER CHADHAPetitioner
Through: Ms. Parul Verma and Mr. Anuj
Kumar Garg, Advs.

Versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI AND OTHERS

.....Respondents
Through: Mr. G.S. Oberoi, SC for MCD with
Mr. Ankur Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Bhagvan Swarup Shukla, CGSC
with Mr. Sawan Kumar Shukla, Adv.
for R-2 & 3
Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh and Ms.
Priyanka Singh, Advs. for DUSIB
Mr. Divij Soni, Adv. for DDA
Mr. Mohit Bhardwaj, Adv. for R-4 to
8
Mr. Rishab Raj Jain, SC for BSES
with Mr. Sharique Hussain, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER
04.09.2024

%

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-Corporation submits that during the pendency of the instant petition, the demolition action has already taken place and thus, the instant petition has rendered infructuous.
2. The aforesaid fact is also confirmed by learned counsel for the



petitioner. He, however, submits that debris of the demolition is still lying on the site.

4. At this stage, the respondent-Corporation is directed to take necessary steps, in accordance with law. No further directions are required to be passed in the instant writ petition.

5. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of alongwith pending applications.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J.

SEPTEMBER 4, 2024

p'ma