



\$~60 & 63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6098/2024**

ANKIT KHARE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Varun Mittal, Mr. Vivek
Sansanwal, Mr. Akash Sharma and
Ms. Anamika Singh, Advocates

versus

STATE OF DELHI NCT AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for
the State with SI Yashpal Singh PS
Nanak Pura

+ **CRL.M.C. 6119/2024**

ANKIT KHARE AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Varun Mittal, Mr. Vivek
Sansanwal, Mr. Akash Sharma and
Ms. Anamika Singh, Advocates

versus

STATE OF DELHI NCT AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for
the State with SI Pramod Kumar PS
Cyber Cell

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

07.08.2024

CRL.M.A. 23348/2024 & CRL.M.A. 23399/2024 (Seeking Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 6098/2024 & CRL.M.C. 6119/2024



3. The petitioners *vide* the present petitions under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 seek quashing of cross FIRs No. 102/2017 and 27/2016 registered under Sections 509 and 498A/406/34 OF IPC respectively, registered at P.S. Crime Branch and PS CAW CELL, Nanak Pura and all proceeding emanating therefrom in view of the Settlement arrived at between the parties.

4. Issue notice. Learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. A request for quashing of the FIR has been made on account of the Settlement *inter se* the parties. It is stated that a daughter was born out of the Wedlock. The parties were able to amicably resolve the matter and the issues and undertake to bind by the Settlement Deed passed by the Counselling Cell, Rohini Courts dated 28.04.2024. As per the Settlement Deed, the Petitioner had agreed to pay a sum of Rs. 8,50,000/- out of which Rs.5,00,000/- has already been paid and he has handed over a Draft of Rs. 3,50,000/- bearing No. 001597 to the complainant Ms. Sakshi Saxena.

6. The parties are present before this Court in person today, and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned.

7. Today, the complainant who is present in Court state that she has no objection if the FIR is quashed and states that she has received all amounts towards her past, present and future alimony.

8. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIRs in question.



9. Accordingly cross FIRs No. 102/2017 and 27/2016 registered under Sections 509 and 498A/406/34 OF IPC respectively, registered at P.S. Crime Branch and PS CAW CELL, Nanak Pura and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed, without prejudice to the rights of the child.

10. The petitions stand disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

AUGUST 7, 2024/PT