



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 8701/2023

MS. AKABARI NEYAMATULA

SHAIKH & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sunil K. Kalra, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

THRO SHO PS SEELAM PUR

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Bharti PS Seelampur, Delhi.
Mr. Mohd. Shadab Abbasi, Advocate
for complainant.
Complainant through VC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

07.02.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 20/2012 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and under Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act at P.S. Seelampur, Delhi on the round that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner Nos.1 and 2, who are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim. He submits that husband of the respondent No.2 has expired and copy of death certificate has been placed on record.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Court, Delhi on 10.12.2019. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./ SI Bharti PS Seelampur, Delhi. Respondent No.2, who has joined the proceedings through VC, has been identified by the I.O.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with petitioners of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous applications.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 7, 2024/rd