



\$~104

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10804/2024**

SUKHESH CHAND GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Jai Sahai Endlaw and Mr.Zubin
John, Advs.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.Yoginder Handoo and Mr.Ashwin
Kataria, Adv for NDMC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **05.08.2024**

CM APPL. 44437/2024 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 10804/2024

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, after making brief submissions, confines his prayer to the extent of supplying a copy of the Assessment Order (AO).
2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-NDMC, however, submits that there is no difficulty in supplying the copy of the AO; however, the same should not be treated to be the service as on date. According to him, the period for filing the appeal stood expired and therefore, the petitioner should not be allowed to revive the cause for filing of the said appeal.



3. Be that as it may, if the petitioner has to file any application before the concerned authority for condonation of delay, the same shall be dealt with on its own merit.
4. Without prejudice, copy of the AO has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. The relief prayed for in the instant writ petition, stands satisfied and accordingly, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.
6. All rights and contentions are left open.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

AUGUST 5, 2024/MJ