



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10748/2024 & CM APPL. 44271/2024**

MR. RAJESH LOHIA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Faisal Naseem and Ms. Mahetaba
Asrar, Advs.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Niraj Kumar, SC for MCD with .
Mr. Chaitanya Kumar, Adv
Mr. G. S. Oberoi, ASC with Mr. J. S.
Oberoi, Adv. for DDA.
Ms. Mehak Nakra, ASC (Civil),
GNCTD with Ms. Gunjan Suyal and
Ms. Anjali Pandey, Advs. for R-3&4.
Mr. Pankaj Vivek and Mr. Suryansh
Jamwal, Advs. for R-5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

18.09.2024

%

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner justifiably submits that in view of the action taken by the respondent-Corporation, his grievance stands mitigated.
2. In view of the aforesaid, the petition has been rendered infructuous. The same is, therefore, disposed of.
3. All rights and contentions of the private respondent are left open and he is at liberty to agitate the same before the appropriate Court or forum.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

SEPTEMBER 18, 2024/p