



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 2750/2024 & CRL.M.A. 22960/2024**
ANKUSH SHARMAApplicant
Through: **Mr. Siddhartha Iyer,**
Advocate.

Versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHIRespondent
Through: **Mr. Naresh Kumar**
Chahar, APP for the State.
Ms. Parul Tomar,
Advocate (Through V.C.)
alongwith Victim-in-
Person.
Inspector Lokendra.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

% **ORDER**
26.11.2024

1. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that out of seventy-one witnesses cited by the prosecution, only two witnesses are independent, that is, the complainant herself and one Imran Uddin Siddiqui.
2. He seeks liberty to withdraw the present application and file afresh after the independent witnesses are examined.
3. He requests that the learned Trial Court be directed to expedite the examination of independent witnesses.
4. Considering the above, the application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh if the independent witnesses are not examined in the next six months.



5. This Court appreciates the efforts put in by Ms. Parul Tomar, Advocate, who had agreed to appear for the prosecutrix on request made by the Court.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 26, 2024

'Aman'