



\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 8565/2023, CRL.M.A. 31971/2023**

MAHESH CHANDER BAHUGUNA & ORS. Petitioners
Through: Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Dilsukh, P.S. K.N. Katju
Marg.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
09.04.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 114/2018 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act at P.S. K.N. Katju Marg, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 to 5 are in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Sabharwal, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have



settled their disputes before the Counselling Cell, Family Court, on 10.07.2018. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 11.12.2018 passed by the Family Court, North, Rohini, Delhi in HMA No. 2054/18.

5. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./SI Dilsukh, P.S. K.N. Katju Marg.

6. Respondent No. 2, who is identified by the I.O., states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 9, 2024

ga