



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8552/2023**

SH. DEEPAK GUPTA & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pankaj Kumar Dubey, Advocate
with petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE (N.C.T. OF DELHI) & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Vipin Kumar PS Mangolpuri,
Delhi.

Mr. Sunder and Mr. Birendra Kumar,
Advocates for respondent No.2 with
respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

19.03.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 28/2022 registered under Sections 323/341/506/34 IPC at Police Station Mangolpuri, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR the petitioners hurled abuses and gave beatings to the complainant as a result of which he sustained injuries.
3. The amended memo of parties in terms of the last order has been placed on record. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the only accused and respondent No.2 is the complainant in the present case.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present FIR was



registered due to misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes before Mediation Centre, Rohini Courts, Delhi on 29.04.2023, a copy of which has been placed on record. Respondent No.2 also acknowledges receipt of Rs.1.25 lacs by way of demand draft bearing number 46929692 drawn on Union Bank, Kamla Nagar, Delhi which has been paid to him by the petitioner today in the Court. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as the I.O./ SI Vipin Kumar PS Mangolpuri, Delhi.

6. The petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that he has entered into the aforementioned compromise out of his own free will, volition and without any coercion. He further states that he has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

10. In case receipt of cost is not filed within two weeks, the matter be placed before the Court.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 19, 2024/rd