



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8538/2023**

JAGJEET SINGH @VICKY AND ANR Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Mehta, Mr. Kuldeep Choudhary, Mr. Naveen Rexwal and Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocates with petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE AND ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for the State with SI Satpreet and SI Vijay Kumar, PS Jaitpur, Delhi.
Mohd. Ifran, Advocate for respondent No.2 with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

21.02.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 145/2018 registered under Sections 354/506/509/34 IPC at Police Station Jaitpur, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners repeatedly abused, teased and made obscene comments to the complainant causing distress to her.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim in the present case. It is further stated that accused Jaswant Singh has expired and the said fact has been verified by the IO.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present FIR was registered due to misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 01.11.2023, a copy of which has been placed on record as Annexure P-2. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as the I.O./ SI Vijay Kumar, PS Jaitpur, Delhi. Respondent No. 2 is also present in Court and has been identified by her counsel and the I.O.

6. The petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertake not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned MOU out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 21, 2024/rd