



\$~FULL BENCH

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10363/2021**

LALIT SHARMA AND ORS

..... Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, CGSC with
Mr. Abhigyan Siddhant, GP.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Agarwal, Adv. for
Bar Council of Delhi.

Mr. Abhinav Vashisht, Sr. Adv. and
Mr. Sacchin Puri, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Praveen Kumar, Ms. Ilma Iqbal, Mr.
Paras Anand, Mr. Sudesh Jain, Ms.
Mamta, Mr. Harsh Priya and Mr.
Neeraj Pal, Advs. in CM APPL.
28016/2024

Mr. Rakesh Tikku, Sr. Adv. and Mr.
Inderbir Singh Alag, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Jatan Singh, Mr. Sandeep
Sharma, Mr. Amit Chadha, Mr.
Naginder Benipal, Mr. Shyam
Sharma, Mr. Sanjay Dewan, Ms.
Bandana Kaur Grover, Mr. Dhan
Mohan, Mr. Rajat Manchanda, Mr.
Nishant Anand, Ms. Gayatri Puri, Mr.
Nitesh Mehra, Mr. Ashish, Mr.
Sandeep Kumar and Mr. Sidhant
Tripathy, Advs. for Delhi High Court
Bar Association.



+

W.P.(C) 17018/2022

P K DASH ADVOCATE

..... Petitioner

Through:

versus

BAR COUNCIL OF DELHI AND ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rakesh Tiku, Sr. Adv. and Mr. Inderbir Singh Alag, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Jatan Singh, Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Mr. Amit Chadha, Mr. Naginder Benipal, Mr. Shyam Sharma, Mr. Sanjay Dewan, Ms. Bandana Kaur Grover, Mr. Dhan Mohan, Mr. Rajat Manchanda, Mr. Nishant Anand, Ms. Gayatri Puri, Mr. Nitesh Mehra, Mr. Ashish, Mr. Sandeep Kumar and Mr. Sidhant Tripathy, Advs. for Delhi High Court Bar Association.
Mr. N. Hariharan, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Siddharth S. Yadav, Ms. Punya Rekha, Mr. Shah and Mr. Prateek Bhalla, Advs.
Mr. K.C. Mittal, Adv. in CM APPL. 31619/2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

ORDER

28.05.2024

%



REVIEW PET. 183/2024 in W.P.(C) 10363/2021

1. No ground for review is made out.
2. Dismissed.

CM APPL. 28016/2024 in W.P.(C) 10363/2021

3. Present application has been filed by the applicant seeking modification/clarification of Paragraph 35(11.17)(iv) of the judgment dated 19th March, 2024 passed by this Court to the extent that law researchers who are engaged with this Court shall be considered as *bona fide* advocates and consequently be allowed to cast their vote in the elections of the Bar Associations, of which they are members of.
4. Learned counsel for the non-applicants have no objection to the present application being allowed.
5. This Court also finds merit in the application filed by the applicants.
6. Keeping in view the aforesaid as well as with consent of the parties the prayer sought in the present application is allowed.

CM APPL. 31340/2024 in W.P.(C) 10363/2021

7. Learned counsel for the non-applicants have no objection to the present application being taken up for hearing.
8. After some hearing, it transpires that there are more than 1.25 to 1.5 Lakh advocates registered with various Bar Associations of Delhi and capturing of biometric of these advocates would require considerable time and the process may not be completed within the timeline fixed by this Court in accordance with the Judgment dated 19th March, 2024.
9. Consequently, the present application is allowed and the direction to capture the biometric of the advocates/ registered clerks for the issuance of proximity/ identity cards in terms of judgment dated 19th March, 2024 is



dispensed with. The application is accordingly disposed of.

CM APPL. 31619/2024 in W.P.(C) 17018/2022

10. This Court finds no merit in the present application. Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

SURESH KUMAR KAIT, J

MAY 28, 2024

N.Khanna