



\$~3

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.M.C. 8518/2023 & CRL.M.A. 31813/2023

KAPIL AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ankit Sharma, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Laksh Khanna, APP for State
with WASI Anju Bala PS Samypur
Badli, Delhi.

Mr. Keshav Bhardwaj and Mr. Sushil
Bidhuri, Advocates for respondent
No.2 with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

07.02.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 957/2022 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Mangolpuri, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos. 2 to 5 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Laksh Khanna, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled/compromised all their disputes vide MOU dated 25.09.2023. In terms



of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 26.10.2023 passed by the Family Court, West, Tis Hazari Court, Delhi in HMA No. 3163/2023. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- as full and final settlement shall be paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No. 2. It is stated that all the amount has been paid to respondent No.2.

5. Petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by I.O./ WASI Anju Bala PS Samypur Badli, Delhi.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with petitioners of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous applications.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 7, 2024/rd