



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 8510/2023, CRL.M.A. 31755/2023**

SH. PARVEZ

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Wazid Akhtar Singh, Advocate
with petitioner in person.

versus

**THE STATE GOVT. OF NOT OF DELHI AND
ANR**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with W/SI Bharti, P.S. Seelampur.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

07.02.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 196/2011 registered under Section 324 IPC at Police Station Seelampur, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, on 03.06.2011 while returning home, the complainant was assaulted by the petitioner.
3. Mr. Jha, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. He further submits that though initially FIR was registered under Section 324 IPC however, later the same was converted into Section 326 IPC.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and



respondent No. 2 are known to each other and present FIR was registered due to misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Settlement/Compromise Deed dated 14.10.2023, a copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner, who is present in Court, has been identified by the counsel as well as the I.O./W/SI Bharti, P.S. Seelampur. Respondent No. 2 is also present in Court and has been identified by the I.O.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that he has settled the disputes with the petitioner out of his own free will, volition and without any coercion. He further states that he has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 7, 2024

ga