



\$~35 & 36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3418/2023**

BHUMIKA SHARMA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Manish Gupta, Mr.Amit Thakur,
Mr. Mohan Sharma and Mr.
R.S.Shishodia, Advocates.

versus

**STATE OF N.C.T. OF DELHI THROUGH SHO P.S. SHALIMAR
BAGH, & ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel.

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3419/2023**

POOJA JHA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through:

versus

**STATE OF NCT DELHI THROUGH SHO P.S. SHALIMAR BAGH,
NORTH-WEST DELHI & ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel.
Mr. Manish Gupta, Mr.Amit Thakur,
Mr. Mohan Sharma and Mr.
R.S.Shishodia, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

30.08.2024

%

1. W.P.(CRL) 3418/2023 under Section 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as "Cr.P.C., 1973"*) has been filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking to quash the FIR No. 509/2023 dated



06.09.2023 registered under Sections 323/354/341/356/506/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as “IPC, 1860”*) at Police Station Shalimar Bagh, Delhi and W.P.(CRL) 3419/2023 under Section 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as “Cr.P.C., 1973”*) has been filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking to quash the FIR No. 508/2023 dated 06.09.2023 registered under Sections 323/354(AY354(B))/341/356/506/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as “IPC, 1860”*) at Police Station Shalimar Bagh, Delhi.

2. It is submitted that these are cross FIRs which got registered *inter-se* the families of the brothers. Now they have amicably settled the matter within 15 days of registration of FIR vide Settlement Agreement dated 27.09.2023.

3. It is submitted that the parties have settled all the disputes and differences between them *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 27.09.2023.

4. In view of the Settlement Agreement dated 27.09.2023, the present petition has been filed.

5. The petitioners and the respondents are present in person in the Court today, and they have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned.

6. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 27.09.2023 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIRs.

7. The present petitions have been signed by the petitioners and is



supported by their affidavits as well as of respondents. The parties have endorsed and reaffirmed the terms of the settlement and they also submit that the said settlement has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and coercion.

8. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the parties are neighbours, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

9. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIRs in question.

10. Accordingly, FIR No. 509/2023 dated 06.09.2023 registered under Sections 323/354/341/356/506/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as "IPC, 1860"*) at Police Station Shalimar Bagh, Delhi and FIR No. 508/2023 dated 06.09.2023 registered under Sections 323/354(A/354(B)/341/356/506/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as "IPC, 1860"*) at Police Station Shalimar Bagh, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. The petition stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

AUGUST 30, 2024/rk