



\$~2 & 3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

2

+ **BAIL APPLN. 3557/2023**
RAJKUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankit Kansal and Mr. A.K. Suri,
Adv.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with Mr. Chaaitanya Jain and Mr.
Shishav Shukla, Adv.
SI Jarnail Singh and Insp. Devender
Singh, PS Punjabi Bagh.

3

+ **BAIL APPLN. 3912/2023**
GARIBAN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankit Kansal and Mr. A.K. Suri,
Adv.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhy, ASC for
State with Mr. Abhijeet Kumar and
Mr. Sagar Mehlawat, Adv.
SI Jarnail Singh and Insp. Devender
Singh, PS Punjabi Bagh.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

ORDER

13.05.2024

%

Learned counsel for petitioner does not wish to press the applications at this stage since the statement of material witnesses is yet to be recorded before the learned Trial Court and requests to defer the same.

There is no purpose to keep the applications pending, if the same is to be pressed only after statement of material witnesses is recorded.



Considering the facts and circumstances, the applications are disposed of 'as not pressed' with liberty to the petitioner to prefer an application afresh without prejudice to his rights and contentions.

Applications are accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be kept in connected application.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J

MAY 13, 2024/akc