



\$~51 to 55, 57 and 59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10675/2024

RAKESH KUMAR ROLANIYAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Verma, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Leela Suman, SPC with Ms.
Geetanjali Tyagi, Adv. for UOI.

52

+ W.P.(C) 10720/2024

RAVINDRAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Verma, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vedansh Anand, CGSC for UOI
with Mr. Sandeep Tyagi with Mr.
Ashish Goyal, AL.

53

+ W.P.(C) 10729/2024

SUNIL KUMAR MITHARWALPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Verma, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shekhar Kumar, SPC for UOI.

54

+ W.P.(C) 10742/2024

RAVI KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Verma, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sahil Munjal, SPC for UOI.

55

+ W.P.(C) 10757/2024



SANJAY KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Verma, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Ms. Avshreya Pratap Singh Rudy,
SPC with Ms. Usha Jamnal, Adv.

57

+ W.P.(C) 10762/2024

ANILPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Verma, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Harsh Kumar, SPC with Mr.
Hussain Taqvi, for R-1.

59

+ W.P.(C) 10788/2024

SUNIL KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Verma, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Kanav Vir Singh, SPC with Mr.
Hussai Taqvi, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

O R D E R

%

05.08.2024

CM APPL. 43911/2024 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 10675/2024

CM APPL. 44148/2024 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 10720/2024

CM APPL. 44160/2024 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 10729/2024

CM APPL. 44264/2024 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 10742/2024

CM APPL. 44290/2024 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 10757/2024

CM APPL. 44325/2024 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 10762/2024

CM APPL. 44380/2024 (exemption) in W.P.(C) 10788/2024



1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 10675/2024

W.P.(C) 10720/2024

W.P.(C) 10729/2024

W.P.(C) 10742/2024

W.P.(C) 10757/2024

W.P.(C) 10762/2024

W.P.(C) 10788/2024

3. The petitioners, who are aspiring to join the Central Industrial Security Force (CISF) as Constable (DCPO) pursuant to the notification issued on 23/24.01.2023 have approached this Court seeking the following directions:

- (a) direct that standing screening committee constituted by respondent no.2 to take decision on the suitability of the petitioner within two weeks as already three months have lapsed, and no decision has been taken;*
- (b) direct that subject to decision of the standing screening committee the petitioner may be permitted to join to the post of Constable/DCPO.*

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as way back as on 04.04.2024, the petitioners were informed by the respondents that their cases were being shortly placed before the Standing Screening Committee for consideration; however, even after a period of four months, no action has been taken in this regard.
5. Issue notice.
6. Learned counsels for the respondents appear on advance notice and



assure the Court that the petitioners' cases will be placed before the Standing Screening Committee shortly.

7. In the light of this stand taken by the parties, the writ petitions are disposed of by directing the respondents to ensure that the cases of all the petitioners are placed before the Standing Screening Committee for consideration of their candidature as per law within a period of six weeks from today.

8. Needless to state, if the petitioners are aggrieved by any order(s) passed by the respondents, it will be open for them to seek legal recourse as permissible in law.

REKHA PALLI, J

SHALINDER KAUR, J

AUGUST 05, 2024/ab