



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5963/2024 & CRL. MA 22791/2024**

RAJDEEP SINGH PURI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manish Gandhi, Mr. Shripal Upadhyay, Advocates with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State with SI Lovely Priyanka PS Connaught Place, New Delhi.
Mr. Jitin Sahni, Advocate for respondent No.2 with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

02.08.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 0182/2021 registered under Sections 506/354/354B IPC at Police Station Connaught Place, New Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner inappropriately touched the complainant and tried to establish sexual relations.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed, however, charges are yet to be framed.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR was



registered due to some misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 30.07.2024, a copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as the I.O./ SI Lovely Priyanka PS Connaught Place, New Delhi who is present in the Court.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned MOU out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.1,00,000/- out of which Rs.50,000/- to be paid to the respondent No.2 by way of demand draft through IO and Rs.50,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner with the Delhi State Legal Services Authority(Account No.18580110053263, UCO Bank, Branch Rouse Avenue, IFSC: UCBA0003364) within a period of two weeks from today. The amount so deposited shall be utilized by the Delhi State Legal Services Authority for providing counselling/psychological support to POCSO victims requiring such assistance.



9. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the I.O.
10. A copy of this order be communicated to the Member Secretary, Delhi State Legal Services Authority for intimation.
11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.
12. In case the proof of cost is not filed within two weeks, the IO shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in this regard.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 2, 2024/rd