



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8421/2023**

RAM KISHORE SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms.Karuna Bala, Advocate with
petitioner in person

versus

STATE GNCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
Mr.Prince Kumar Arya, Advocate for respondent
No.2 with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

14.02.2024

%

CRL.M.A. 31458/2023 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 8421/2023

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.291/2013 registered under Sections 498A/406/312 IPC at P.S. Civil Lines, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and the petitioner/husband.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioner is the only accused person and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their dispute on 27.02.2023 before the learned Principal Judge, Family Courts, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi. It is further stated that the petitioner and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 31.08.2023 passed by the Family Court, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in HMA No.1480/2023. It was further agreed that a sum of Rs.11,00,000/- would be paid as full and final settlement by the petitioner to respondent No. 2. Out of the said settlement amount, an amount of Rs.8,00,000/- has already been paid and that the remaining amount of Rs.3,00,000/- is being paid today vide demand draft bearing No.868167 dated 12.02.2024 drawn at State Bank of India, Sultanpur. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Settlement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid Demand Draft.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 14, 2024

na