



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5908/2024

MOHD. ALI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ayyub Ahmad (D/1076/2002)
and Mr. Anis Ahmad (D/2507/2013),
Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
SI Vijay Pal Singh, PS CWC Nanak
Pura.
Mr. Gaurav Pandey (D-289/22),
Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **01.08.2024**

1. The present petition has been filed for quashing FIR No.110/2013 dated 05.07.2013, registered at Police Station Crime (Women) Cell for offences under Section 498A, 406, 34 IPC on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes. The present proceedings arise out of a matrimonial dispute between the parties.

2. The principal ground on which the present petition has been filed is that the parties have amicably resolved their disputes by a settlement agreement dated 09.07.2024. As per the settlement agreement, the Petitioner has agreed to pay a sum of Rs.3,75,000/- to Respondent No.2/Complainant towards full and final settlement of all her claims in the following manner:-

- a. A sum of Rs.1,00,000/- was received by the Respondent No.2 at the time recording of joint statement in divorce petition as per Muslim Law.



b. Remaining Rs.2,75,000/- was to be paid during the quashing of the FIR.

3. The Petitioner and Respondent No.2/Complainant are present in Court. The parties have been identified by their respective Counsels and the Investigating Officer. Respondent No.2/Complainant has also filed an affidavit affirming the fact that all the disputes with the Petitioners have been amicably settled. She has been paid the remaining sum of Rs.2,75,000/- in Court today by way of a Demand Draft. She states that she has received the entire amount and has settled all her disputes with the Petitioners out of her own free will, without pressure, coercion or undue influence.

4. Considering the fact that the dispute is a matrimonial dispute and the parties have amicably settled their disputes, the present case is squarely covered under the law laid down by the Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present proceedings. Resultantly, FIR No.110/2013 dated 05.07.2013, registered at Police Station Crime (Women) Cell for offences under Section 498A, 406, 34 IPC and the proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed. The parties shall remain bound by the terms of the settlement and the undertaking given to the Court.

5. The petition stands disposed of with the above observations along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

AUGUST 1, 2024

Rahul