



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8311/2023**

DR. M.C. AGGARWAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Manish Kumar, Advocate

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr.Ashneet Singh, APP for State with
SI Pardeep

Mr.Rohit Sehgal, Advocate for respondent No.2
with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

23.01.2024

%

CRL.M.A. 30924/2023 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 8311/2023

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR as well as summoning order dated 11.05.2016 on the ground that the petitioners have amicably settled their disputes.
2. Learned counsels for the parties submit that earlier an FIR bearing No. 757/2007 was registered under Sections 452/323/506/34 IPC and Sections 25/27 Arms Act at P.S. Dabri. Subsequently, a closure report was filed therein however, the petitioner has been summoned vide summoning



order dated 11.05.2016 whereby the petitioner has been charged under Section 307 IPC.

3. Leanned APP for the State submits that the investigation did not reveal use of any country made pistol and the allegations levelled by the complainant were not found to be genuine, leading to filing of the closure report.

4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have further entered into a settlement vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 10.05.2023 and in terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the present petitioner.

5. The petitioner and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and he undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 states that he has entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding out of his own free will, volition and without any coercion. He further states that he has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.



10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

JANUARY 23, 2024

na

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J