



\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2681/2024**

NIKHIL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rahul Thakur, Mr. Kaushal Thakur, Mr. Suraj Sagar, Mr. Narvir Singh, Mr. Sandeep Kumar and Ms. Anjali, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (N.C.T. OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Tarang Srivastava, APP for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

02.08.2024

%

1. The Petitioner has approached this Court for grant of bail in the event of arrest in FIR No. 426/2024 dated 05.05.2024 registered at Police Station Mangolpuri for offences under Section 324, 506 & 34 IPC.
2. Status Report has been filed. The facts as narrated in the Status Report indicate that on 05.05.2024 a PCR Call was received regarding stabbing at Mangolpuri and the same was marked to the undersigned. On reaching the spot, the caller met the Police Officer and told that someone has stabbed his nephew Sumit. The victim Sumit gave his statement stating that on 05.05.2024 alleging that one Vipin@ Mathi@ Mathir came along with his associates. It is stated that Vipin@ Mathi@ Mathir and Ashish stabbed him with knife on his head and his associates Armaan, Priyanshu @ Chintu



Nikhil (Petitioner herein), Rahul @ Sethi, Kaku@ Deep Daksh Rana hit him with bricks and ran away and they also threatened him. According to the said complaint, the instant FIR has been registered.

3. The matter came up for hearing on 31.07.2024. This Court directed the learned APP for the State to produce the MLC. This Court has gone through the MLC produced before this Court. The doctor has described the injury as 'simple'.

4. The Petitioner is aged 18 years. Considering the fact that the Petitioner is a youngster and it seems to be a fight between the people in the locality and looking at the nature of injury Kaku@ Deep Daksh Rana has already been given bail and that at the time when bail was granted to him, offence under Section 307 IPC had not been added. The allegation against the accused/Petitioner is that he used a brick unlike other accused who had used a knife.

5. Considering the age of the accused and the fact that arrest will have the effect of spoiling his future and also the fact that it is a fight between the youngsters in the same locality and that there are no previous antecedents of the Petitioner, nature of injuries suffered by the complainant and that the custody of the Petitioner would not be required as no recovery is to be effected, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the Petitioner in the event of arrest, subject to the Petitioner furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with two sureties in the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned SHO/IO.

6. However, to ensure that the Petitioner does not indulge in cases of fight, this Court is inclined to direct the Petitioner to report to the Police



Station thrice a week, i.e., every Monday, Wednesday and Friday at 10:00 AM, who shall be released within an hour after completing all the necessary formalities. The Petitioner is directed to cooperate with the investigation.

7. The bail application is disposed of along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

AUGUST 2, 2024
hsk