



\$~70

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **W.P.(CRL) 2277/2024**  
**KAMALJEET SINGH**

.....Petitioner  
Through: Mr. Sidharth Yadav, Mr. Prashant Jain, Ms. Medha Sarin, Ms. Kanika Bhutani, Mr. Daljeet Dabas, Mr. Ashutosh Chandola, Mr. Vishal Maan, Mr. Abhijeet Shankar, Mr. K.K. Khanna and Mr. Rajan Chandra, Advocates.

versus

**STATE (NCT OF DELHI)**

.....Respondent  
Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, Ld. ASC for State with I.O SI Chetan, P.S. Swaroop Nagar.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**ORDER**

**30.07.2024**

%

**CRL.M.A. 22245/2024 (Seeking Exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

**W.P.(CRL) 2277/2024**

3. The petitioner vide this petition is seeking directions to be issued for grant of parole for a period of 60 days. An Order dated 02.07.2024 has been passed by the NCT of Delhi whereby it is recommended that the application



for parole be considered by the Jail Superintendent after due consideration of whether the petitioner is eligible for furlough and for release.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner on being put a specific query has stated that he has not put an application for furlough because at best it would be granted for a week or two, which may not serve its purpose. However, without filing a furlough application, his submissions are only preemptive. The petition is disposed of with the direction to first file the furlough application before the competent Authority. He shall be at liberty to approach this Court, if need be.

5. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J**

**JULY 30, 2024/va**