



\$~85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2260/2024**

TAJ MOHAMMAD AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Faiz Imam, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF N.C.T. OF DELHI AND ANOTHERRespondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Abhinav Kumar Arya,
Advocate.

SI Manoj Kumar, PS Shaheen Bagh
and SI Suresh Chand, PS Amar
Colony.

Mr. Khowja Siddiqui, Mr. Ashwini
Kumar, Mr. Sohail Khan, Mr. Jay
Singh, Mr. Shadab Ahmad, Mr. Uday
Arora, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Ms. Jyoti
Khattar, Ms. Chetna Titiyal, Mr.
Kumar Gaurav, Mr. Prateek Garg,
Mr. Puneet Kumar, Mr. Daood
Ahmed, Mr. Azaz Ahmed and Ms.
Naghma Malik, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

30.07.2024

CRL.M.A. 22084/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(CRL) 2260/2024

1. Petitioners have approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR
No.380/2021 dated 10.11.2021, registered at Police Station Shaheen Bagh



for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC. The present FIR is the outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the parties.

2. The Respondent No.2 is the Complainant and the Petitioner No.1 is the husband of the Complainant.

3. The principal ground on which the present petition has been filed is that the parties have entered into a settlement *vide* a settlement deed dated 14.12.2023. It is stated in the petition that in terms of the settlement, the marriage between the complainant/Respondent No.2 and the Petitioner No.1/husband stands dissolved on 14.04.2024. It is further stated in the petition that under the settlement, the Petitioner No.1/husband has agreed to pay a sum of Rs.6,00,000/- to the complainant/Respondent No.2 towards the full and final settlement of all her claims. The Respondent No.2/complainant, who is present in Court today, states that she has received the entire amount of Rs.6,00,000/- from Petitioner No.1/husband.

4. Today, the parties are present in Court today. The Petitioners have been identified by their Counsel and the Investigating Officer. The Respondent No.2/complainant has been identified by her Counsel and the Investigating Officer. The Complainant/Respondent No.2 states that she has settled all her matrimonial disputes with the Petitioners out of her own free will, without pressure, coercion or undue influence and states that she does not want to pursue with the present case any further and request that the present FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom may be quashed. The parties undertake that they will remain bound by the settlement and proceedings recorded before this Court.

5. The Parties, who are present in Court, understand the implication of the present proceedings. In view of the settlement arrived at between the



parties, this Court is satisfied that no useful purpose would be served in continuing with the present proceedings. Resultantly, FIR No.380/2021 dated 10.11.2021, registered at Police Station Shaheen Bagh for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and the proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

6. The petition stands disposed of in above terms, along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

JULY 30, 2024

Rahul