



\$~119

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 6258/2022**

KESHAV NACHANI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar &
Mr. Gautam Prabhakar,
Advs.

versus

STATE THR. SHO, PS KIRTI NAGAR, DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar,
APP for the State.
Insp. Arvind Kumar, PS
Kirti Nagar.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar & Mr.
Adarsh Singh, Advs. for R-
2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **23.10.2024**
CRL.M.A. 32058/2024 (for directions)

1. The counsel for the Respondent No. 2 submits that the Registry is not releasing the amount in favour of the Respondent No. 2 in the absence of a lawyer.
2. The complaint seems to be not possible.
3. The order is categorical that the Registry is directed to release the amount in favour of the Respondent No. 2. The non-compliance would tantamount to contempt.
4. No further orders are required to be passed in the present application.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

OCTOBER 23, 2024

"SK"