



\$~68

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5703/2024 & CRL. M.As 21801-02

ANIL KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dilip Kumar Singh, Mr. Shashi
Kant Mishra, Advocates with
petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Pradeep Kumar PS Aman
Vihar, Delhi.
Respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **26.07.2024**

1. The present proceedings are instituted on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 554/2018 registered under Section 354A IPC at Police Station Aman Vihar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, that the petitioner misbehaved with the complainant while she was gone for an interview.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR was registered due to some misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide



Memorandum of Settlement/Mutual Agreement dated 08.04.2024, a copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner, who is present in Court, has been identified by his counsel as well as the I.O./ SI Pradeep Kumar PS Aman Vihar, Delhi. Respondent No. 2 is also present in Court and has been identified by the I.O.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned Memorandum of Settlement/Mutual Agreement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.20,000/- out of which Rs.10,000/- to be paid to the respondent No.2 by way of demand draft through I.O. and Rs.10,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner with the Delhi State Legal Services Authority(Account No.18580110053263, UCO Bank, Branch Rouse Avenue, IFSC: UCBA0003364) within a period of four weeks from today. The amount so deposited shall be utilized by the Delhi State Legal Services Authority for providing counselling/psychological support to POCSO victims requiring such assistance.

9. The proof of cost shall be filed with the I.O.



10. A copy of this order be communicated to the Member Secretary, Delhi State Legal Services Authority for intimation.
11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous applications.
12. In case the proof of cost is not filed within the stipulated time with IO, the IO shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in this regard.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JULY 26, 2024/*rd*