



\$~9

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 319/2023

PUSHPA

..... Appellant

Through: None.

versus

YOGENDER KUMAR DABAS

..... Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

19.02.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

C.M. Nos. 25248/2023 [*Application filed on behalf of the appellant seeking restoration of the appeal*], **25249/2023** [*Application filed on behalf of the appellant seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal*] & **25250/2023** [*Application filed on behalf of the appellant seeking condonation of delay in re-filing the appeal*]

1. There is no representation on behalf of the applicant/appellant.
2. Furthermore, the report of the Registry reveals that the process fee was not filed.
3. Accordingly, the above-captioned applications are dismissed for non-prosecution.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

FEBRUARY 19, 2024/kd

Click here to check corrigendum, if any