



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5648/2024 & CRL. M.As 21569-70/2024**

MAYANK GOYAL & ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Samarth Kumar Luthra, Mr. Niraj
Kumar Mishra, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Ranjana PS Subzi Mandi,
Delhi.

Mr. Pankaj Kapoor, Advocate
(through VC) with Mr. Aniket, Arora,
Mr. Abhishek, Advocates with
respondent No.2 (through VC).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

25.07.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 590/2022 registered under Sections 323/354/34 IPC at Police Station Subzi Mandi, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners assaulted and harassed the Respondent No.2.
3. Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present FIR was



registered due to misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 15.05.2024, a copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, the complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsels as well as the I.O./ SI Ranjana PS Subzi Mandi, Delhi.

6. The petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertake not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No.2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned MOU out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous applications.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JULY 25, 2024/rd