



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14065/2023 & CM APPL. 55584/2023**

BABULAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. N. K. Sahoo, Adv.

versus

NEW-DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Mohit Bhardwaj, Adv. for R-5.
Mr. Saad Shervani & Mr. Elvin
Joshy, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

11.07.2024

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. It is seen that the possession of the stall in question has already been taken over by the respondent-NDMC way back in year 2015. There appear to be dues of Rs.24,78,578/- as on the date of passing of the impugned order.
3. The petitioner has been unable to satisfy as to under which provision of law either the aforesaid due amount can be waived off or the petitioner is entitled to reoccupy the stall in question.
4. In view of the aforesaid, there is no reason to continue this writ petition on the Board of this Court.
5. Needless to state that if the petitioner is ready to make the payment of the aforesaid balance of the licence fee and approaches the NDMC with a proper application, let the same be considered in accordance with law within



eight weeks.

6. The same is accordingly dismissed, alongwith pending applications.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

JULY 11, 2024/DA