



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 607/2019**

SOHAN LAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Ankit Jain, Mr Aditya Chauhan,
Ms Apurva Tyagi and Ms Tanisha
Gopal, Adv.

Versus

KUNTI DEVI

..... Respondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

18.01.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

RC.REV. 607/2019 & CM APPL. 3302/2024 (Discharge as counsel for petitioner)

1. As reflected from record, the petitioner has already passed away and his legal representatives have restored possession of the subject premises to the respondent/landlord. Apparently for that reason, the respondent has not been appearing. At the same time, learned counsel for petitioner has also filed the application CM 3302/2024 seeking discharge. The said application is accompanied with registered letter addressed to the petitioner, though as per record, the petitioner has already passed away. Even on behalf of legal representatives of the petitioner, none has been appearing, which could be because the possession has already been restored by them to the respondent.



2. Considering the above circumstances, there is no reason to continue this matter on board. Therefore, the petition as well as the pending applications stand disposed of.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

JANUARY 18, 2024/as

Click here to check corrigendum, if any