



\$~96

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1124/2024**
M/S SHAH CATERS

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr.Joydee Sarma, Advocate.

versus

DR. RITU CHAWLA

.....Respondent
Through: Mr.Satyakam, ASC for
GNCTD.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

% **ORDER**
23.07.2024

CM APPL. 41190/2024 – EXMP.

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1124/2024

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties present, this Court finds that the present contempt petition is not maintainable.
4. However, there is merit in the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that despite representation dated 06.03.2024 made by the petitioner before the respondent, as well as the order dated 01.04.2024 passed in Writ Petition bearing no.4682/2024, the respondent is taking too long to decide his representation and four months have elapsed since then.
5. Incidentally, it was acknowledged on behalf of the respondent, as recorded in the order dated 01.04.2024, that there was some



inadvertent mistake in the GeM portal, whereby the bid of the petitioner was not correctly shown.

6. In view of the peculiar facts of the case, it is directed that appropriate decision on the representation of the petitioner shall be taken within six weeks from today.

7. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

JULY 23, 2024/VLD