



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 3544/2023**

VISHAL SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashish Yadav & Ms. Rimjhim
Agnihotri, Advocates.

versus

**STATE (NCT OF DELHI)
THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY**

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP with Inspector
Yogesh, PS- Anand Parbat, for the
State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER
10.01.2024

%

1. This matter was mentioned in the morning. Learned counsel for the petitioner who appears now states that he had not mentioned it in the morning and, therefore, he states that he had not sought adjournment.
2. The father of the applicant is present in Court who states that the learned counsel who appears before this Court at this stage is his only counsel.
3. In these circumstances, this Court recall the order of adjournment and since this is a bail application, this Court proceed to hear the matter.
4. Trial Court Record not received, however, a report has been received. It is stated by the learned APP for the State that seven witnesses have been examined out of 31 witnesses, however, two eye-witnesses still remain to be



examined and, therefore, the learned counsel for the State submits that the matter be listed after the examination of the eye-witnesses.

5. Both the parties will not seek adjournment on the next date of hearing. The IO will ensure that the complainant is present before the learned Trial Court on the next date of hearing.

6. List before this Court for consideration on 16.02.2024.

7. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 10, 2024

B.S. Rohella

Click here to check corrigendum, if any