



\$~31 & 36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

W.P.(C) 11844/2021

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Latika Chaudhary, Adv.

versus

BALBIR YADAV & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Umesh Singh, Ms. Karishma and
Mr. Ankit Bhadoria, Advocates for
respondent nos. 2, 12, 13, 15, 18 & 20

+ W.P.(C) 15584/2022

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Latika Chaudhary, Adv.

versus

MANOJ KUMAR GAUTAM

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Umesh Singh, Ms. Karishma and
Mr. Ankit Bhadoria, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

16.05.2024

%

1. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in view of the OM dated 03.02.2023 and the admitted position that the impugned orders have already been partly implemented/implemented, nothing survives for adjudication in the present writ petitions.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioners is unable to deny that the present petitioners' challenge to the impugned order is liable to be rejected after the issuance of O.M. dated 03.03.2023.
3. In the light of the aforesaid stand taken by the parties, both the petitions are dismissed as infructuous.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 16, 2024/rr