



2024:DHC:6067



\$~46

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of Decision: 13.08.2024

+ CRL.M.C. 5540/2024

SH. PAWAN GARG & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. S. P. Tiwari, Advocate with
Petitioners-in-person.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for the State
with SI Sanjeev Lehri, PS: Burari and
respondent No. 2**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA**

%

J U D G M E N T**ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J (ORAL)**

1. Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioners for quashing of FIR No. 0094/2019, under Sections 498A/34 IPC, registered at PS: Burari and proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State along with respondent No. 2 in person appears on advance notice and accepts notice.
3. In brief, as per the case of the petitioners, marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 was solemnized according to Hindu rites and ceremonies on 15.02.2015. A female child was born out of the wedlock, who is presently in custody of respondent No. 2. Due to matrimonial differences, petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 started living separately. On complaint of respondent No. 2, present FIR was registered on 10.03.2019.
4. The disputes have been amicably settled between the parties in terms



2024:DHC:6067



of Settlement dated 27.05.2024. The marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 has been dissolved by decree of divorce by way of mutual consent under Section 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act vide judgment dated 31.05.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State submits that in view of amicable settlement between the parties, he has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

6. Petitioners and respondent No. 2 are present and have been identified by SI Sanjeev Lehri, PS: Burari. I have interacted with the parties and they confirm that the matter has been amicably settled between them without any threat, pressure or coercion. Respondent No. 2 also states that nothing remains to be further adjudicated upon between the parties and she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances, since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, no useful purpose shall be served by keeping the case pending. It would be nothing but an abuse of the process of Court. The chances of conviction are bleak in view of amicable settlement between the parties. Consequently, FIR No. 0094/2019, under Sections 498A/34 IPC, registered at PS: Burari and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be forwarded to learned Trial Court for information.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J

AUGUST 13, 2024/R