



\$~88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9894/2024 & CM APPL. 40630/2024**

VINOD RATRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Simranpal Singh and Mr.
Akhilesh Talluri, Advs.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Ms. Manisha Tyagi, SC for MCD.
Mr. Ajay Arora, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

22.07.2024

CM APPL. 40631/2024 (Ex.)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 9894/2024 & CM APPL. 40630/2024

3. Mr. Arora, learned counsel, on instructions, submits that the respondent itself has undertaken to remove the boundary wall to the height specified in the unified building bye-laws and accordingly, he requested for 30 days' time.
4. He has placed on record three undertakings.
5. The Registry is directed to place those undertakings on record.
6. In view of the aforesaid unequivocal undertakings, the Court is not inclined to entertain the instant writ petition and instead direct the



respondent-Corporation to take necessary action in view of the undertakings given by the respondent-Corporation.

7. The petitioner shall be at liberty to apprise the respondent-Corporation that the undertakings so furnished, has already been fulfilled.

8. The respondent-Corporation has to consider the aforesaid aspect and then only, necessary action will have to be taken.

9. Petition stands disposed of alongwith the pending application.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

JULY 22, 2024/p