



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5522/2024, CRL.M.A. 21061/2024 & CRL.M.A. 21062/2024**

DN BANSAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Mittal, Mr. Abhijeet Varshney, Mr. Sumit Kumar Sharma, Ms. Shilpa G. Mittal & Mr. Darshan Sejwal, Advs.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ANRRespondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for the State along with Mr. Karan Khurana, Hari Shankar Sharma, Mr. Ashutosh Kumar & Mr. Deepak Grover, Advs.
Insp. Ghanshyam Kishore
DIO/South Distt.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

30.08.2024

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was registered in the year 1999 and the charges were framed against the petitioner way back in the year 2017. He submits that the petitioner is 81 years of age.
2. He submits that the petitioner has been unnecessarily implicated in the present case and has been made to face the trial.
3. He, at the outset, submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if he is exempted from personal appearance before the learned Trial Court.
4. He submits that petitioner will be represented through an



Advocate and will not dispute the identity of the witnesses.

5. Considering the above, as and when any such application is filed, the learned Trial Court is directed to take a lenient view and pass an appropriate order.

6. The petitioner is at liberty to take all arguments before the learned Trial Court at an appropriate stage.

7. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid observations.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

AUGUST 30, 2024

“SK”