



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5500/2024**

SRIKANT KUMAR AND ORS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajbir Singh Sagar and Mr. Rajiv
Pratap Singh, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE(GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, Ld. APP for State
with SI Pankaj Kumar, P.S. Jyoti
Nagar.
Mr. Ram Kumar, Advocate for R-2
Dipika.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

22.07.2024

%

CRL.M.A. 21005/2024 (Seeking Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The application is accordingly disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 5500/2024

3. The Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as "Cr.P.C., 1973"*) has been filed on behalf of the petitioners, seeking to quash the FIR No. 532/2020, registered under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as "IPC, 1860"*) at Police Station Jyoti Nagar.



4. Issue notice.
5. Ms. Richa Dhawan, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on advance notice, accepts notice on behalf of the State.
6. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 on 18.04.2018, according to Hindu rites and ceremonies and no child was born out of the said wedlock.
7. It is further submitted on the complaint of respondent No. 2, an FIR bearing No. 532/2020, registered under Sections 406/498A/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, got registered at Police Station Jyoti Nagar.
8. It is stated that the petitioner No. 1 and the respondent No. 2 have amicably settled all the disputes and differences between them and arrived at Settlement Deed dated 28.11.2022 wherein it was *inter alia* settled between the parties that the respondent No. 2/wife and the petitioner No. 1/husband shall dissolve their marriage by decree of mutual consent. It is stated that petitioner No. 1/husband shall pay a sum of Rs. 3,60,000/- towards full and final settlement of all the claims of the respondent No. 2/wife by way of DD/Pay Order. It is also stated that the petitioner No. 1 has already paid Rs. 2,80,000/- to respondent No. 2/wife.
9. It is further stated that the remaining sum of Rs. 80,000/- shall be paid by the petitioner No. 1/husband at the time of quashing of FIR No. 532/2020.
10. It is also stated that on 27.07.2023, the marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 had been dissolved as per Hindu law.
11. In view of the Settlement Deed dated 28.11.2022, the present petition has been filed.
12. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have



been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned.

13. A sum Rs. 80,000/-, i.e the balance amount, has been handed over to the respondent No. 2/wife by the petitioner No. 1 today i.e. 22.07.2024 *vide* DD No. 130329 dated 05.05.2024 made in favour of the respondent No. 2/Deepika, drawn on PNB Bank, Amity International School Branch Gautam Budh Nagar, U.P. and the same has been accepted by the respondent No. 2/wife.

14. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled *vide* Settlement Deed dated 28.11.2022 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

15. The present petition has been signed by all the petitioners and is supported by their respective affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at *vide* Settlement Deed dated 28.11.2022 and they also submit that the said Settlement Deed dated 28.11.2022 has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and coercion.

16. Today, the complainant/respondent No. 2/wife, who is present in Court, states that she has received all amounts due to her and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

17. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

18. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.



19. Accordingly, FIR No. 532/2020 for the offence punishable under Sections 406/498A/34 of IPC, 1860, Registered at Police Station Jyoti Nagar and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

20. The petition stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JULY 22, 2024/va