



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7634/2023**

VIPIN KUMAR AND ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Israr Ahmad, Advocate with
petitioner No.1 in person and petitioners No.2 to
10 through V.C.

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Bhanu Prakash
Ms.Rekha Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2
with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

20.02.2024

%

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.336/2018 registered under Sections 406/498A/34/323/354A/354 IPC at P.S. Mansarovar Park, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos. 2 to 10 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.



4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute vide Compromise Deed/MoU dated 18.01.2023. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 20.04.2023 passed by the Family Court, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi in HMA No.765/2023. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from the petitioner No.1, states that the rights of the minor child shall remain unaffected by the terms of the settlement. The petitioner No.1, who is present in the Court reiterates the same. The said statement is accepted and taken on record. In acknowledgement of the same, petitioner No.1 has signed the order sheet.
6. Petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, and petitioners Nos.2 to 10, who have joined the proceedings through V.C., have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.
7. Respondent No. 2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Compromise Deed/MoU with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.
8. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.
9. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.
10. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

11. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 20, 2024

na