



\$~16, 17 and 19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
(16) CRL.M.C. 49/2022
(17) CRL.M.C. 50/2022
(19)+ CRL.M.C. 53/2022

DIRECTORATE OF REVENUE INTELLIGENCE

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Satish Aggarwala, SPC

versus

LI WEN TSUNG Respondent
LIANG ZHAOBING ALIAS DAWANG TSRING

..... Respondent

YONG CHOI Respondent

Through: Mr.Rahul Raheja, Mr.Gaurav
Prakash, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER
04.01.2024

%

1. Mr.Rahul Raheja, Advocate, enters appearance on behalf of the respondent(s). He submits that he was earlier representing the respondent(s), however, has not received any instructions from the respondent(s) to appear further in the present matters. He submits that to the best of his knowledge, the learned Trial Court has already, on the respondent(s) violating the terms of the bail, proceeded against the respondent(s) and the surety, and orders have been passed in that regard.

2. The above facts have not been disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioner.



3. In that view of the matter, the present petitions are rendered infructuous.
4. The learned Trial Court, while considering the effect of the respondent(s) violating the terms of the order releasing them on bail, shall also consider the other submissions that the petitioner may have to offer.
5. The petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

JANUARY 4, 2024/Arya/ss

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)