



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 5843/2022 & CRL.M.A. 22948/2022**

MR. GOURAV @ VICKY & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anand Parashar (D/1772/2006),
Mr. S. R. Parashar, Ms. Ritu Mishra,
Mr. Virender Kumar, Mr. Anil Kumar
Advocates along with Petitioners in
person.

versus

THE STATE OF N.C.T. OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
SI Kusum Chaudhary, PS KNK Marg.
Mr. P.C. Thakur (D/793/93) and Mr.
Arvind Kaushik (D/11438/22),
Advocates for Respondent No.2 along
with Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

12.08.2024

%

1. The present petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C has been filed by the Petitioners for quashing FIR No.494/2017, registered at Police Station K.N. Katju Marg for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC. The present FIR is the outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the parties.
2. The Respondent No.2 is the Complainant and the Petitioner No.1 is the husband of the Complainant.
3. The principal ground on which the present petition has been filed is that the parties have entered into a settlement on 29.04.2019. It is stated in the petition that in terms of the settlement, the Petitioner No.1/husband has



agreed to pay a sum of Rs.4,80,000/- to the complainant/Respondent No.2 towards the full and final settlement of all her claims in the following manner:

- a. A sum of Rs.1,50,000/- was to be paid at the time of recording of statement of the First Motion.
- b. A sum of Rs.1,50,000/- was to be paid at the time of recording of statement of the Second Motion.
- c. A sum of Rs.1,80,000/- was to be paid at the time of quashing of the FIR.

4. Today, the parties are present in Court today. The Petitioners have been identified by their Counsel and the Investigating Officer. The Respondent No.2/complainant has been identified by her Counsel and the Investigating Officer. The Complainant/Respondent No.2 states that she has received the entire amount as per the settlement and has settled all her matrimonial disputes with the Petitioners out of her own free will, without pressure, coercion or undue influence and states that she does not want to pursue the present case any further and requests that the present FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom may be quashed. The parties undertake that they will remain bound by the settlement and proceedings recorded before this Court.

5. Considering the fact that the dispute is a matrimonial dispute and the parties have amicably settled their disputes, the present case is squarely covered under the law laid down by the Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, this Court is satisfied that no useful purpose would be served in continuing with the present proceedings. Resultantly, the FIR



No.494/2017, registered at Police Station K.N. Katju Marg for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and the proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

6. The petition stands disposed of in above terms, along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

AUGUST 12, 2024

S. Zakir